# EXHIBIT 9

#### Case 2:18-cv-07241-CAS-PLA Document 141-9 Filed 07/02/21 Page 2 of 8 Page ID #:5413

```
1
                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
                   CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 3
 4
    KEVIN RISTO, on behalf
                                        )
    of himself and all others
 5
    similarly situated,
 6
                 Plaintiffs,
 7
          vs.
                                            Case No. 2:18-cv-
                                            07241-CAS-PLA
 8
    SCREEN ACTORS GUILD-AMERICAN
    FEDERATION OF TELEVISION AND
 9
    RADIO ARTISTS, a Delaware
    corporation; AMERICAN FEDERATION )
    OF MUSICIANS OF THE UNITED STATES )
10
    AND CANADA, a California
    nonprofit corporation; et al.,
11
                                        )
                                        )
                 Defendants.
12
13
14
15
16
               DEPOSITION OF DUNCAN CRABTREE-IRELAND
17
                        CONDUCTED VIRTUALLY
18
                    TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 16, 2021
19
                              9:05 a.m.
2.0
21
    Job No. 269207
22
    Pages: 248
    Reported by: Lorie Rhyne, CSR, RPR, CRR
23
24
    Appearing remotely from San Diego, California
25
```

1	REMOTE APPEARANCES
2	
3	On Behalf of the Plaintiff Kevin Risto:
4	PAUL KIESEL, ESQ.
5	NICHOLAS "NICO" BRANCOLINI, ESQ.
6	MARIANA A. McCONNELL, ESQ.
7	Kiesel Law LLP
8	8648 Wilshire Boulevard
9	Beverly Hills, California 90211
10	(310) 854-0812
11	Kiesel@kiesel.law
12	brancolini@kiesel.law
13	mcconnell@kiesel.law
14	
15	Co-Counsel:
16	NEVILLE JOHNSON, ESQ.
17	DANIEL B. LIFSCHITZ, ESQ.
18	Johnson and Johnson
19	439 North Canon Drive, Suite 200
20	Beverly Hills, California 90210
21	(310) 975-1095
22	dlifschitz@jjllplaw.com
23	njohnson@jjllplaw.com
24	
25	

1	On Behalf of the Defendants:
2	ANDREW J. THOMAS, ESQ.
3	ANDREW G. SULLIVAN, ESQ.
4	ANNA LYONS, ESQ.
5	Jenner & Block
6	633 West 5th Street, Suite 3600
7	Los Angeles, California 90071
8	(213) 239-5155
9	ajthomas@jenner.com
10	agsullivan@jenner.com
11	alyons@jenner.com
12	
13	On Behalf of Defendant SAG-AFTRA:
14	SARAH LUPPEN FOWLER, ESQ.
15	SAG-AFTRA
16	5757 Wilshire Boulevard, 9th Floor
17	Los Angeles, California 90036
18	(323) 549-6836
19	
20	Videographer: JENNIFER FRANKLIN
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

#### Case 2:18-cv-07241-CAS-PLA Document 141-9 Filed 07/02/21 Page 5 of 8 Page ID #:5416

Duncan Crabtree-Ireland

1 Yeah. Α. 2 -- these conversations, I assume, took place 3 all before the vote itself on what the percentages were 4 going to be; right? 5 Α. Correct. And when you say the "fee didn't go too 6 high," what other organizations were you aware of that 7 8 were seeking a percentage of a fund to reimburse them for their costs associated with providing information? 9 10 MR. THOMAS: Objection. Misstates the 11 testimony. Vague and ambiguous. 12 You can answer. 13 THE WITNESS: Yeah, that wasn't what I was 14 referring to. I was referring to the overall 15 administrative fee charged by other collective 16 management organizations that were engaged in the 17 collection and distribution of royalties, is what I was 18 referring to. 19 BY MR. KIESEL: 20 Thank you. I -- I misunderstood for that. 21 Thank you. 22 Did you ever offer the opinion before the 23 vote that having a set percentage of the fund allocated 24 to the purchase of the data might not be the best way 25 to proceed with compensating the unions for the

1	expenses associating for providing the data?
2	A. Are you asking if I said that to anybody?
3	Q. Yes.
4	A. I don't think I said it in those terms. I
5	know that I did give thought to the question of what
6	the best way to structure the compensation would be,
7	and I may have had some you know, may have done some
8	thinking out loud with other people about what the
9	various options were.
10	I do know that by the time, you know, I
11	completed that process, it was my view and it still
12	is my view that given the circumstances, a
13	percentage fee was the most appropriate way to go about
14	compensating unions for the not only the data but
15	also the services that they were providing.
16	Q. Was there ever an attempt before the vote
17	occurred to determine how much time actually was spent
18	by the unions in providing the data to the Fund?
19	A. Not in any kind of formal, you know, time
20	and motion study or anything like that. No.
21	Q. Was there ever an attempt to determine what
22	the actual value was for the data that was being
23	provided to the Fund for their use?
24	A. In terms of sort of specific quantified
25	
	analysis, not that I'm aware of. But I mean, I do

	-
1	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF DEPONENT
2	
3	I, DUNCAN CRABTREE-IRELAND, do hereby
4	acknowledge that I have read and examined the foregoing
5	testimony and the same is a true, correct, and complete
6	transcription of the testimony given by me and any
7	corrections appear on the attached errata sheet signed
8	by me.
9	
10	DUNCAN CRABTREE-IRELAND  April 8, 2021
11	(SIGNATURE) (DATE)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER
2	
3	I, LORIE RHYNE, CSR No. 12905, RPR, CRR, a
4	Certified Shorthand Reporter, for the County of
5	San Diego, State of California, the officer before whom
6	the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify
7	that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct
8	record of the testimony given; that said testimony was
9	taken by me stenographically and thereafter reduced to
10	typewriting under my direction; that reading and
11	signing was not discussed; and that I am neither
12	counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the
13	parties to this case and have no interest, financial or
14	otherwise, in its outcome.
15	Dated this 25th day of February, 2021.
16	
17	Lovie Rhyni
18	- dove wage
19	LORIE RHYNE
20	CSR No. 12905
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	